

DECEMBER 7, 2021



## RZH INSIGHTS



Dear Friends of RZH:

The US stock market has been climbing a wall of worry all year and yet the S&P 500 has risen 23%! Concerns over inflation, new Covid variants, increased taxes, and supply chain issues have not seemed to derail the upward advance. As patient, disciplined, goal-focused planning-driven investors we know that there will always be a crisis du jour. Yet, understandably, the chorus of “irrational exuberance” has been getting louder.

I have received numerous requests to address these concerns in writing. Just as I was finishing a memo on this topic, I received the most wonderful letter from my mentor Nick Murray (RZH Insights 10-5-2020). The following is an adaptation of his letter which was so perfectly timed...

Sunday marked a most significant anniversary in the economic and financial history of the United States. When properly appreciated, it can serve as an importantly teachable moment.

December 5th was the 25th anniversary of Alan Greenspan's "irrational exuberance" speech, in which the world's most respected central banker darkly warned of an impending speculative crescendo.

And in a way, he was eventually right. Sure enough, that greatest of all bull markets topped out – ***40 months after Greenspan's speech. In the meantime, the S&P 500 had doubled.***

I thought it might be instructive - as well as a certain amount of good fun - to cast an eye over the intervening quarter-century. Let's begin with a key item of baseline data that may and certainly should inform our inquiry.

***Fact:*** The Standard & Poor's 500-Stock Index had closed that Thursday afternoon, in blissful ignorance of what was coming later in that evening in Greenspan's speech, at 744. And sure enough - just as the oracle had grimly suggested it must - the S&P 500 topped out...three years, three months and 19 days later, on March 24, 2000, at 1,527. You read that right: it more than doubled in the 40 months after Greenspan's dire warning.

I suppose I could just stop here, invite you to draw the obvious inference from the above, and call it a day. Said inference is, of course: ***No one - no central banker, no economist, no market strategist, no hedge fund manager - no one can predict the market, much less tell you where to get out and/or back in. The economy cannot be consistently forecast, nor the market consistently timed. By anyone.***

But before I let you go, I'd just like to throw out a very few other potentially relevant factoids.

- Last Friday December 3rd, the S&P 500 closed at 4,538, ***up more than six times since Greenspan spoke.***
- With dividends reinvested, \$1,000,000 invested in the S&P 500 on 12/4/96 is getting ***pretty close to \$10,000,000 along about now.***
- The earnings of the S&P 500 for the year 1996 were \$40.63. With less than a month to go in the current year, the consensus forecast is around \$200, ***up almost exactly five times.***
- The S&P 500's cash dividend in 1996 was \$14.90. Consensus forecast for this year is about \$60, ***up almost exactly four times.***
- The Consumer Price Index (a measure of Inflation) was 158.6 in December 1996. It will most likely close out this year around 280, ***up a mere 1.8 times.***

***In summary: Inflation up 2X – the stock market up 10X.*** This is why you own equities for the long run.

Continued on next page...

At RZH our conviction for owing equities for the long run is unwavering. Having studied the markets for 30+ years I know that irrational exuberance comes and goes but the power of the equity markets is enduring. The key is to know why you are investing, who the stakeholders of your wealth are and which dollars are best invested in stocks versus bonds.

As your wealth management partner, RZH is truly grateful for the opportunity to work with you and your family to perpetuate the benefits of your wealth across multiple generations.

On behalf of all of us at RZH we wish you, your families and loved ones a most wonderful holiday season and best wishes for a terrific New Year!

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paul", with a long, sweeping underline that extends to the left.

P.S. The most recent CPI reading showed a 6.2% increase from a year ago. Inflation is back and it's very real. However, the last time we had 6% annualized inflation was in 1990. Since then the stock market is up 14X and inflation is up 2X.

## Important Disclosure Information

**Please remember that past performance may not be indicative of future results. Different types of investments involve varying degrees of risk, and there can be no assurance that the future performance of any specific investment, investment strategy, or product (including the investments and/or investment strategies recommended or undertaken by RZH Advisors (“RZH”), or any non-investment related content, made reference to directly or indirectly in this commentary will be profitable, equal any corresponding indicated historical performance level(s), be suitable for your portfolio or individual situation, or prove successful. Due to various factors, including changing market conditions and/or applicable laws, the content may no longer be reflective of current opinions or positions. Moreover, you should not assume that any discussion or information contained in this commentary serves as the receipt of, or as a substitute for, personalized investment advice from RZH. Please remember to contact RZH, in writing, if there are any changes in your personal/financial situation or investment objectives for the purpose of reviewing/evaluating/revising our previous recommendations and/or services, or if you would like to impose, add, or to modify any reasonable restrictions to our investment advisory services. RZH is neither a law Firm, nor a certified public accounting Firm, and no portion of the commentary content should be construed as legal or accounting advice. A copy of the RZH’s current written disclosure Brochure discussing our advisory services and fees continues to remain available upon request, or at [www.rzhadvisors.com](http://www.rzhadvisors.com).**

**Historical performance results for investment indices, benchmarks, and/or categories have been provided for general informational/comparison purposes only, and generally do not reflect the deduction of transaction and/or custodial charges, the deduction of an investment management fee, nor the impact of taxes, the incurrence of which would have the effect of decreasing historical performance results. It should not be assumed that your RZH account holdings correspond directly to any comparative indices or categories. Please Also Note: (1) performance results do not reflect the impact of taxes; (2) comparative benchmarks/indices may be more or less volatile than your RZH accounts; and, (3) a description of each comparative benchmark/index is available upon request.**

**Please Note: Limitations: Neither rankings and/or recognitions by unaffiliated rating services, publications, media, or other organizations, nor the achievement of any designation, certification, or license should be construed by a client or prospective client as a guarantee that he/she will experience a certain level of results if RZH is engaged, or continues to be engaged, to provide investment advisory services. Rankings published by magazines, and others, generally base their selections exclusively on information prepared and/or submitted by the recognized adviser. Rankings are generally limited to participating advisers (see link as to participation data/criteria, to the extent applicable). Unless expressly indicated to the contrary, RZH did not pay a fee to be included on any such ranking. No ranking or recognition should be construed as a current or past endorsement of RZH by any of its clients. ANY QUESTIONS: RZH's Chief Compliance Officer remains available to address any questions regarding rankings and/or recognitions, including the criteria used for any reflected ranking.**

***\* About the Forbes Ranking: The Forbes ranking of America's Top Women Wealth Advisors, developed by SHOOK Research, is based on an algorithm of qualitative and quantitative data, rating thousands of wealth advisors with a minimum of seven years of experience and weighing factors like revenue trends, assets under management, compliance records, industry experience and best practices learned through telephone and in-person interviews. Portfolio performance is not a criteria due to varying client objectives and lack of audited data. Neither Forbes or SHOOK receive a fee in exchange for rankings.***